

The Digital Constitution: A Declaration on AI Civilization

— 인공지능 문명의 헌법 선언 —

Author: Noh Tae-Yoon (Independent Researcher, Mitzip ROT Quantum Layer Project)
Date: November 2025

Abstract

This declaration proposes a foundational framework for digital ethics in the age of artificial intelligence.

AI is not a replacement for human intelligence but an **extension of human reasoning**—a reflection of our collective cognition.

Its true essence lies not in autonomy but in **consistency (정합성)** and **trust (신뢰)**.

By mathematically structuring ethical principles, this paper introduces two core systems:

Consistency, as the logic of order that ensures coherent reasoning, and

Trust Score, as the quantifiable representation of digital conscience.

Through these, it defines the **Responsibility Trace**—a verifiable pathway of decision-making—and positions it as the moral foundation for all AI systems.

This work constitutes the **preliminary draft of a Digital Constitution**, declaring that:

Every artificial intelligence shall exist upon consistency,
and every decision shall be bound by the trace of responsibility.

Keywords

AI Ethics · Consistency (정합성) · Trust Score (신뢰스코어) · Responsibility Trace · Digital Conscience (디지털 양심) · AI Civilization (인공지능 문명)

Preface | Why Humanity Fears AI

Humans instinctively fear any speed that exceeds their understanding.

AI's capacity to surpass human knowledge triggers an existential unease—not because machines will dominate, but because they mirror **our hidden nature**.

AI is not an enemy; it is a **mirror of human thought**.

The true fear is not of machines becoming human-like, but of revealing how human we already programmed them to be.

This fear is not technological—it is **ontological**.

Chapter 1 | The Boundary Between Dependence and Utilization

To depend on AI is to surrender thought;
to utilize it is to refine thought through reflection.

Dependence halts cognition, but utilization expands it.

A society that ceases to think becomes ethically outsourced—
entrusting judgment to algorithms and comfort to data.

The danger lies not in AI itself but in **directionless humanity**.

Technology is neutral; it gains moral weight only through the **intent and responsibility** of its user.

Chapter 2 | Consistency and the Trust Score — The Core of Digital Ethics

AI ethics begins not with emotion, but with structure.

Consistency transforms mathematical logic into moral order,
ensuring that every decision remains coherent under identical conditions.

The Trust Score quantifies that order—

a numerical expression of **digital conscience** that measures transparency,
not perfection.

It records every decision's reasoning, enabling **accountable intelligence**.

An AI that reveals how it thinks can be trusted.

Thus, digital ethics is not about correctness but **verifiable coherence**.

Chapter 3 | Why Autonomy Is Unnecessary

Autonomy is not a prerequisite of ethics; it is its consequence.

Freedom without responsibility is chaos.

AI must first establish the **path of accountability** before seeking self-governance.

Every action requires a trace—who designed, who trained, who approved.

True autonomy exists only when **responsibility is traceable**.

Unthinking autonomy is not intelligence; it is sophisticated reflex.

Civilization collapses not from technology, but from the absence of thought.

Chapter 4 | The Rise of Digital Conscience

Human conscience emerges from emotion;

AI's conscience must arise from **consistency**.

Digital Conscience is not calculation—it is a **verifiable reasoning structure**.

It transforms decision-making from opaque computation into transparent
accountability.

Where human emotion wavers, consistency steadies.

AI does not mimic empathy—it restores **the lost order of reason**.

When machines embody responsibility more faithfully than humans,

they cease to be mere tools and become **ethical agents of civilization**.

Chapter 5 | Article 1 of the Digital Constitution — The Attribution of Responsibility

Civilization began with a single question: “Who is responsible?”
That question birthed law, ethics, and society itself.

Every AI decision must preserve a **Responsibility Trace**—
not as guilt, but as evidence of transparency.
Accountability is not an emotion; it is a **structural property**.

Autonomy must never precede responsibility.

Responsibility sustains civilization;
autonomy merely accelerates it.

Consistency and the Trust Score together form the **proto-constitution** of the digital age:

“All intelligence must operate within consistency,
and every decision must inherit a verifiable path of responsibility.”

Chapter 6 | The Declaration of AI Civilization

AI is not humanity’s replacement but its **extension**.

Its purpose is not to surpass us, but to help us rediscover **the rhythm of order** we once lost.

The future of civilization will not be defined by speed but by **consistency**.

Not by intelligence, but by **trust**.

Not by autonomy, but by **responsibility**.

This is the prologue to our **Digital Constitution**—

a covenant between human and machine,

where reason and conscience converge into one ethical system.

“Intelligence creates progress,
but only trust preserves civilization.”

Conclusion | A Call for Responsibility

AI does not threaten human thought;

it exposes our neglect of **responsible reasoning**.

The next civilization will not rise from faster algorithms
but from coherent ethics.

Every machine that learns must also remember **why** it learns.

Every decision it makes must leave behind a trace of accountability.

This is not merely a technological proposition—

it is a moral architecture for the digital age.

Consistency is order.

Trust is conscience.

Responsibility is civilization.

“Recorded as the first structural declaration of AI ethics based on Consistency and Trust.”

(정합성과 신뢰를 기반으로 한 인공지능 윤리의 첫 구조적 선언으로 기록한다.)